\square (2)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America v. RODOLFO OLIVA LOPEZ, Defendant)) Case No. 4:12CR3027
	DER PENDING TRIAL
require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	indings of Fact
· ·	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \square a federal offense \square a state or	local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or mo	. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	ce is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison te	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
□ a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or d	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sin	ce the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding	g (1).
	ttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure

the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternative Findings (B)

X (1)	There is a serious risk that the defend	lant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defend	dant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Sta	tement of the Reasons for Detention
I	find that the testimony and information	n submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convincin	ng evidence □ a preponderance of t	he evidence that
the defen	dant is not a US citizen and poses a ris	k of flight or failure to appear at court hearings if released.
	Part III—	-Directions Regarding Detention
in a correpending a	ections facility separate, to the extent pappeal. The defendant must be afforde	dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement racticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody d a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On ttorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility
	ver the defendant to the United States	
Date:	February 24, 2012	s/Cheryl R. Zwart
		United States Magistrate Judge